arXiv:1511.06612v2 [math.CA] 19 Mar 2016

Some new facts concerning the delta neutral H function of Fox

D.B. Karp[a,b*] and E.G. Prilepkina[a,b]

[a] *Far Eastern Federal University, 8 Sukhanova street, Vladivostok, 690950, Russia*

[b] *Institute of Applied Mathematics, FEBRAS, 7 Radio Street, Vladivostok, 690041, Russia*

Abstract. In this paper we find several new properties of a class of Fox's H functions which we call delta neutral. In particular, we find an expansion in the neighborhood of finite nonzero singularity and give new Mellin transform formulas under a special restriction on parameters. The last result is applied to prove a conjecture regarding the representing measure for gamma ratio in Bernstein's theorem. Further, we find the weak limit of measures expressed in terms of the H function which furnishes a regularization method for integrals containing the delta neutral zero-balanced function of Fox. We apply this result to extend a recently discovered integral equation to zero-balanced case. In the last section of the paper we consider a reduced form of this integral equation for Meijer's G function. This leads to certain expansions believed to be new even in the case of the Gauss hypergeometric function.

Keywords: *Fox's H function, Meijer's G function, Bernoulli polynomials, hypergeometric functions, gamma function, Nørlund's expansion*

MSC2010: 33C60, 33C05

1. Introduction and preliminaries. In his milestone paper [29] Nørlund constructed the fundamental system of solutions of the generalized hypergeometric differential equation ($D = z\frac{d}{dz}$)

$$\{(D-a_1)(D-a_2)\cdots(D-a_p) - z(D+1-b_1)(D+1-b_2)\cdots(D+1-b_p)\}\, y = 0 \quad (1)$$

in the neighborhood of its three regular singular points 0, 1, ∞ in terms of the Mellin-Barnes integrals [32]. Solutions in the neighborhood of 0, ∞ were previously built by Thomae in 1870 in terms of the generalized hypergeometric functions. Rather straightforward examination shows that Nørlund's solution in the neighborhood of 1 that corresponds to the local exponent $\psi - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{p}(b_k - a_k) - 1$ is equal to Meijer's G function $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$ defined by the contour integral

*Corresponding author. E-mail: D. Karp – *dimkrp@gmail.com*, E. Prilepkina – *pril-elena@yandex.ru*

(5) below on setting $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = (1, \dots, 1)$. See also [33, section 8.2] for its definition and properties. In his paper Nørlund discovered a large number of expansions, representations and connection formulas for his solutions. Among many identities for the function $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$ found in [29] a prominent role is played by the following expansion [29, (1.33)]:

$$G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(z \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right) = \frac{z^{a_k}(1-z)^{\psi-1}}{\Gamma(\psi)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_n(\mathbf{a}_{[k]};\mathbf{b})}{(\psi)_n}(1-z)^n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p. \tag{2}$$

The coefficients $g_n(\mathbf{a}_{[k]};\mathbf{b})$ satisfy two different recurrence relations (in p and n) and the following explicit formula [29, (1.28), (2.7), (2.11)]:

$$g_n(\mathbf{a}_{[p]};\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{0\le j_1\le j_2\le\dots\le j_{p-2}\le n} \prod_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{(\psi_m + j_{m-1})_{j_m - j_{m-1}}}{(j_m - j_{m-1})!}(b_{m+1} - a_m)_{j_m - j_{m-1}}, \tag{3}$$

where $\psi_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(b_i - a_i)$, $j_0 = 0$, $j_{p-1} = n$, and

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_p), \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p), \quad \mathbf{a}_{[k]} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_p).$$

The coefficient $g_n(\mathbf{a}_{[k]};\mathbf{b})$ is obtained from $g_n(\mathbf{a}_{[p]};\mathbf{b})$ by exchanging the roles of a_p and a_k. Nørlund also mentioned without reference or proof that "as $z = 1$ is a regular singularity, (2) is convergent in the circle $|z - 1| < 1$". Indeed, this fact follows from the general theory of Fuchsian differential equations, see, for instance, [16, Theorem 11.3]. Convergence of (2) in the disk $|z - 1| < 1$ allows using this series for analytic continuation of $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$ from inside of the unit disk to the disk $|z - 1| < 1$. One of the consequences of (2) found in [29] is the following little-known formula for the Mellin transform of the G function valid for $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{p}(b_j - a_j) = -m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$:

$$\int_0^1 x^{s-1} G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(x \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right) dx = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(a_j + s)}{\Gamma(b_j + s)} - q(s), \quad \Re(s) > -\Re(a_j),\ j = 1, \dots, p. \tag{4}$$

Here $q(s)$ is the polynomial of degree m given by

$$q(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{m-j}(\mathbf{a}_{[k]};\mathbf{b})(s + a_k - j)_j, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

where the standard notation $(\alpha)_j = \Gamma(\alpha + j)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ for the rising factorial has been used. Note that for $\psi > 0$ the term $q(s)$ is absent from (4) while for $\psi \le 0$, $-\psi \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ the integral on the left diverges. An account of Nørlund's work along with extensions and applications can be found in our recent paper [19], where an interested reader is referred to for details.

The first motivation for this paper is to find generalizations of formulas (2) and (4) to a particular case of Fox's H function defined by

$$H^{p,0}_{q,p}\left(z \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\ (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} z^{-s} ds. \tag{5}$$

Here $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_p)$, $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_q)$ are positive vectors while $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$ are arbitrary real vectors (most results of this paper remain valid for complex $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$ by analytic continuation, but we restrict our attention to real case for simplicity). The contour $\mathcal{L}$ starts and ends at infinity and leaves all the poles of the integrand on the left. It can be one of the following:

- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-\infty}$ is a left loop situated in a horizontal strip starting at the point $-\infty + i\varphi_1$ and terminating at the point $-\infty + i\varphi_2$, where $-\infty < \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < \infty$;
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ is a right loop situated in a horizontal strip starting at the point $\infty + i\varphi_1$ and terminating at the point $\infty + i\varphi_2$, where $-\infty < \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < \infty$;
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ic}$ is the vertical line $\Re z = c$, where $c > \gamma = -\min\limits_{1\le k\le p}(a_k/A_k)$.

Details regarding the contour and conditions for convergence of the integral in (5) can be found in [21, sections 1.1,1.2]. In this paper we deal with the case of the H function such that all the poles of the integrand lie in some left half-plane which permits considering $\mathcal{L}_{\pm\infty}$ as the boundary of a convex simply connected domain.

One of the key parameters characterizing the H function is the difference $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{q} B_j - \sum_{i=1}^{p} A_i$. Obviously, $\Delta = 0$ for $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$. Moreover, only for $\Delta = 0$ has the function $H^{p,0}_{q,p}$ a compact support as does $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$, see [20]. The same paper contains further arguments why $\Delta = 0$ is necessary for $H^{p,0}_{q,p}$ to be analogous to $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$. Hence, in what follows we will assume that

$$\Delta = \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{q} B_j - \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{p} A_i = 0. \tag{6}$$

Under this condition the function $H^{p,0}_{q,p}$ will be called delta neutral (we avoid here the term "balanced" since it is reserved for the case $\mu = 0$, where μ is defined in (8) below). It follows from [21, Theorems 3.3] and [20, Theorem 6] that the delta neutral $H^{p,0}_{q,p}(z)$ is supported on the disk $|z| \le \rho$, where the number

$$\rho = \prod_{k=1}^{p} A_k^{A_k} \prod_{j=1}^{q} B_j^{-B_j} \tag{7}$$

plays the same role for the delta neutral $H^{p,0}_{q,p}$ unity plays for $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$. Further, define

$$\mu = \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{q} b_j - \sum\nolimits_{k=1}^{p} a_k + \frac{p-q}{2}. \tag{8}$$

This parameter generalizes the parameter ψ of the G function. Suppose $0 < x < \rho$. Then choosing the contour $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-\infty}$ in (5) guarantees convergence of the integral for all complex μ; if $\mu > 0$ the integral over $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ic}$ also converges and has the same value. If $x > \rho$ then choosing $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{+\infty}$ in (5) guarantees convergence of the integral for all complex μ; if $\mu > 0$ the integral over $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ic}$ also converges and has the same value. See [21, Theorems 1.1 and 3.3] and [20, Theorem 6] for the proof of these claims.

Next motivation for this paper comes from the fact that the delta neutral H function and its particular case $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$ play a noticeable role in statistics and have been used there since 1932 paper of Wilks [37] which appeared before Meijer and Fox introduced their functions. In that paper Wilks introduced an integral equation which he called type B integral equation. Many likelihood ratio criteria in multivariate hypothesis testing have probability density functions satisfying this integral equation including general linear hypothesis, sphericity hypothesis, independence of groups of variables, equality of several covariance matrices and other. See details and numerous reference in [1, 15]. A quick examination reveals that the solution of Wilks' type B integral equation is precisely $G^{p,0}_{p,p}$. Seventeen years after Wilks paper, Box [5] considered even more general integral equation whose solution is easily seen to be

the delta neutral H function (introduced by Fox in [14] 12 years after Box's paper). The role this equation plays in multivariate statistics is explained in section 8.5 of Anderson's authoritative volume [1]. In a series of papers Gupta and Tang tried to solve both Wilks' and Box's equations in terms of series expansions and presented further applications of their solutions in statistics. See [15, 34, 35] and numerous references therein. In particular, in [15] they found two series expansions for "a statistic with general moment function" (= the delta neutral H function). Their second expansion corresponds to our Theorem 1 below, but without convergence proof and with more complicated formulas for the coefficients. They also base their argument on an incorrect theorem of Nair [15, Lemma 4.1]. Furthermore, the delta neutral H function represents the probability density function of a product of positive powers of beta distributed random variables, see [23, section 4.2]. Chapter 4 of this book also contains further applications of the H function in statistics. This line of research continues today - see, for instance, [11] and references there. Independently, probability distribution with the G function density has been found to be the stationary distribution of certain Markov chains and is known as Dufresne law in this context. See [7, 12, 13] and references therein.

The first purpose of this paper is to give a complete description of the singularity of $H_{q,p}^{p,0}(z)$ at the point $z = \rho$. We establish an expansion in the neighborhood of this point which generalizes (2). The main difference from the G function case lies in the fact that the function $H_{q,p}^{p,0}(z)$ does not satisfy any reasonable differential equation, so that no Frobenius method is available for computation of the coefficients and no general theory can be resorted to for convergence proof. We overcome both of these difficulties. We also give a direct independent proof of the Mellin transform formula generalizing (4). The role of ψ is thereby played by the parameter μ from (8).

Recall that a function $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is called completely monotonic if $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$ for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The celebrated Bernstein theorem asserts that completely monotonic functions are precisely those that can be expressed by the Laplace transform of a nonnegative measure. In our recent paper [20] we found conditions for the ratio

$$W(x) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma(A_k x + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(B_j x + b_j)}$$

to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. The second motivation for this paper comes from the fact that the delta neutral $H_{q,p}^{p,0}(z)$ constitutes the representing measure for $W(x)$ in Bernstein theorem if $\mu > 0$, i.e. [20, Theorem 7]

$$W(x) = \int_{\log(1/\rho)}^{\infty} e^{-tx} H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(e^{-t} \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right) dt. \tag{9}$$

We conjectured in [20, Conjecture 2] that for $\mu = 0$ the representing measure takes the form

$$A\delta_{\log(1/\rho)} + H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(e^{-t} \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right) dt, \tag{10}$$

where δ_x denotes the unit mass concentrated at x. In this paper we prove this conjecture and find an explicit expression for the constant A. Moreover, we go one step further and show that the measure in (9) converges weakly to the measure in (10) as $\mu \to 0$ even

when $W(x)$ is not completely monotonic and both measures are signed. This provides a regularization method for the integrals containing the delta neutral function $H_{q,p}^{p,0}$ which is also zero-balanced, i.e. for $\mu = 0$. In Corollary 3.1 below we apply this regularization to extend the integral equation

$$H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}) \end{array} \right.\right) = \frac{1}{\log(\rho/x)} \int_{x/\rho}^{1} H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(\frac{x}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}) \end{array} \right.\right) \frac{Q(u)}{u} du, \tag{11}$$

to the zero-balanced case. This integral equation was established in [20, Theorem 8] for $x \in (0,\rho)$ under the restrictions $\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B} > 0$, $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b} \geq 0$, $\rho \leq 1$ and $\mu > 0$. Here

$$Q(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{u^{a_i/A_i}}{1-u^{1/A_i}} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{u^{b_j/B_j}}{1-u^{1/B_j}} \quad \text{for} \quad u \in (0,1). \tag{12}$$

When $H_{q,p}^{p,0}$ reduces to Meijer's G function, the integral on the right hand side of (11) can be evaluated. This leads to a new functional-differential relation and a new expansion for Meijer's G function $G_{p,p}^{p,0}$ which we present in the ultimate section of this paper.

2. Main results for the H function. Let us start by recalling that n-th Bernoulli polynomial $\mathcal{B}_n(a)$ is defined via the generating function [31, p.588]

$$\frac{te^{at}}{e^t-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(a) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \qquad |t| < 2\pi.$$

The next lemma contains a corrected form of the asymptotic formula found in [15] which was originally derived in [5] in a slightly different form (see also [1, 8.5.1]). This formula follows immediately from Hermite-Barnes asymptotic expansion for the gamma function, see, for instance, [25, (1.6)]. Details can be found in our forthcoming paper [18].

Lemma 1 *Suppose $\Delta = 0$, $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a_k, b_k are arbitrary real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma(A_k z + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(B_j z + b_j)} = \nu \rho^z z^{-\mu} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{M} \frac{l_r}{z^r} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{M+1}}\right)\right) \quad \text{as } z \to \infty \tag{13}$$

in the sector $|\arg z| < \pi - \delta$, $0 < \delta < \pi$. Here

$$\nu = (2\pi)^{(p-q)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{p} A_k^{a_k - 1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{q} B_k^{1/2-b_j}, \tag{14}$$

ρ and μ are defined in (7) and (8), respectively. The coefficients l_r satisfy the recurrence relation

$$l_r = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r} q_m l_{r-m}, \quad \text{with} \quad l_0 = 1 \tag{15}$$

and

$$q_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m+1} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(a_k)}{A_k^m} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(b_j)}{B_j^m} \right]. \tag{16}$$

Remark. It is known [17, Lemma 1] that the recurrence (15) can be solved to give the following explicit expressions for l_r:

$$l_r = \sum_{k_1+2k_2+\cdots+rk_r=r} \frac{q_1^{k_1}(q_2/2)^{k_2}\cdots(q_r/r)^{k_r}}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_r!} = \sum_{n=1}^{r} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_n=r} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{k_i}}{k_i}.$$

Moreover, Nair [24, section 8] found a determinantal expression for such solution which in our notation takes the form

$$l_r = \frac{\det(\Omega_r)}{r!}, \quad \Omega_r = [\omega_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^{r}, \quad \omega_{i,j} = \begin{cases} q_{i-j+1}(i-1)!/(j-1)!, & i \geq j, \\ -1, & i = j-1, \\ 0, & i < j-1. \end{cases}$$

Will need the so called signless non-central Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_\sigma(n,l)$ [10, 8.5] defined by their their "horizontal" generating function [36, (A.2)] as follows:

$$(\sigma + x)_n = \sum_{l=0}^{n} x^l s_\sigma(n,l), \quad \text{where } (a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a).$$

These numbers have been studied by Carlitz [8, 9]. In particular, Carlitz found another nice "double" generating function [8, (5.4)]

$$\sum_{l,n=0}^{\infty} s_\sigma(n,l) y^l \frac{x^n}{n!} = (1-x)^{-\sigma-y}$$

and used it to relate $s_\sigma(n,l)$ to Bernoulli-Nørlund (or generalized Bernoulli) polynomials $\mathcal{B}_k^{(\sigma)}(x)$ defined by the generating function [30, (1)]

$$\frac{t^\sigma e^{xt}}{(e^t-1)^\sigma} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_k^{(\sigma)}(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

His expression as given in [9, (7.6)] is:

$$s_\sigma(n,l) = \binom{-l-1}{n-l} \mathcal{B}_{n-l}^{(n+1)}(1-\sigma) = \frac{(-1)^{n-l}(l+1)_{n-l}}{(n-l)!} \mathcal{B}_{n-l}^{(n+1)}(1-\sigma).$$

Numerous formulas for these numbers are also collected in [10]. The key role in the proof of our first theorem will be played by the next statement which is a combination of [28, Theorems III and IV] (see also a related result in [27, §94 I,II]).

Theorem A *Let $b_k, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose the series*

$$\Omega(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k k!}{(z+\beta)_{k+1}}$$

converges for some finite $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then it converges uniformly in the half-plane $\Re(z-z_0) \geq \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and absolutely in the half-plane $\Re(z-z_0) > 1$. The function $\Omega(z)$ admits the representation

$$\Omega(z) = \int_0^1 t^{z+\beta-1} \phi(t) dt, \quad \textit{where} \quad \phi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (1-t)^k$$

is analytic in the disk $|1-t| < 1$.

The following theorem extends Nørlund's expansion (2) to the delta neutral H function.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $\Delta = 0$. For arbitrary real θ and complex t lying in the intersection of the disks $|t| < 1$ and $|t-1| < 1$ the following representations hold true*:

$$H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(\rho t \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right) = \nu t^{\theta+1}(1-t)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-t)^n}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}\sum_{r=0}^{n}c_r s_{\theta+\mu}(n,r) \tag{17}$$

$$= \nu t^{\theta+1}(1-t)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-t)^n\sum_{r+k=n}\frac{(-1)^k l_r}{k!\Gamma(r+\mu)}\mathcal{B}_k^{(n+\mu)}(-\theta), \tag{18}$$

where ν is given in (14), *l_r is defined in* (15), *$c_0 = 1$ and c_r for $r \geq 1$ are found from the recurrence*

$$c_r = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{m=1}^{r}\widetilde{q}_m c_{r-m}, \tag{19}$$

$$\widetilde{q}_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m+1}\left[\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(\theta+\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{m+1}(\theta+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(a_k)}{A_k^m} - \sum_{j=1}^{q}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(b_j)}{B_j^m}\right]. \tag{20}$$

The series in (17), (18) *converge in the disk $|t-1| < 1$ and their sum represents the analytic continuation of the left hand side of* (17) *to the disk $|t-1| < 1$.*

Remark. Expansion (17) shows that the delta neutral H function on the left of (17) has a branch point at $t = 1$ for all non-integer values of μ (algebraic or logarithmic depending on rationality of μ). For all integer μ, including negative values, this function is analytic around $t = 1$.

Proof. First, we prove expansion (17). In our forthcoming paper [18] we establish the formula

$$\rho^{-z}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k z + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j z + b_j)}\frac{\Gamma(z+\theta+\mu)}{\Gamma(z+\theta+1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{n!a_n}{(z+\theta+\mu)_{n+1}}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{\nu}{n!}\sum_{r=0}^{n}c_r s_{\theta+\mu}(n,r) \tag{22}$$

with ν defined in (14), and the series in (21) converges in some right half-plane $\Re z > \lambda$. Therefore, according to Theorem A the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n(1-t)^n$ converges in the disk $|1-t| < 1$. This, in turn, implies that the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_n n!}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-t)^n$$

converges in the same disk (because $n!/\Gamma(\mu+n) \sim n^{1-\mu}$ as $n \to \infty$). Next, we assume temporarily $\mu > 0$ and $\Re z > \lambda + 1$, multiply the above series by $t^{z+\theta}(1-t)^{\mu-1}$ and integrate term by term using (21):

$$\int_0^1\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_n n!}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}t^{z+\theta}(1-t)^{n+\mu-1}\right\}dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_n n!}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}\int_0^1 t^{z+\theta}(1-t)^{n+\mu-1}dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_n n!\Gamma(z+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(z+\theta+\mu+n+1)} = \rho^{-z}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k z+a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j z+b_j)} = \int_0^1 t^{z-1}H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(\rho t\,\middle|\,\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right)dt,$$

where the last equality is the standard formula for the Mellin transform of the H function [21, Theorem 2.2] combined with the fact that the delta neutral H function has compact support, see [20, Theorem 6]. In view of uniqueness of the inverse Mellin transform comparison of the first and the last term and formula (22) yield expansion (17). It remains to justify the termwise integration above. According to a version of Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem [2, Theorem 3.6.2] it suffices to demonstrate that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n| n!}{\Gamma(\mu+n)} \int_0^1 |t^{z+\theta}(1-t)^{n+\mu-1}| dt < \infty.$$

But this series is clearly equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n| n! \Gamma(x+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(x+\theta+\mu+n+1)} = \frac{\Gamma(x+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(x+\theta+\mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n| n!}{(x+\theta+\mu)_{n+1}},$$

where $x = \Re z$. According to Theorem A it converges for $\Re z > \lambda + 1$. This proves the validity of expansion (17) for $\mu > 0$. Recalling the definition of μ in (8) we see that both sides of (17) are well defined analytic functions of a_i, b_j. Hence, the restriction $\mu > 0$ can be removed by analytic continuation.

To prove (18) substitute the expansion [30, (43)]

$$\frac{1}{z^{\beta}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mathcal{B}_k^{(\beta+k)}(-\theta)(\beta)_k \Gamma(z+\theta+1)}{k! \Gamma(z+\theta+\beta+k+1)},$$

convergent in the half plane $\Re z > 0$, for the powers $z^{-r-\mu}$ in asymptotic formula (13) and rearrange. These manipulations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-z} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma(A_k z + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(B_j z + b_j)} \sim \nu \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{l_r}{z^{r+\mu}} &= \nu \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mathcal{B}_k^{(r+\mu+k)}(-\theta)(r+\mu)_k \Gamma(z+\theta+1)}{k! \Gamma(z+\theta+r+\mu+k+1)} \\ &= \nu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(z+\theta+1)\Gamma(n+\mu)}{\Gamma(z+\theta+\mu+n+1)} \sum_{r+k=n} \frac{(-1)^k l_r}{k! \Gamma(r+\mu)} \mathcal{B}_k^{(n+\mu)}(-\theta). \end{aligned}$$

It is known [26, III, p.454] and is easy to see that the resulting series is also asymptotic for the left hand side. On the other hand, it clearly coincides with the series (21). Uniqueness of inverse factorial series (convergent or asymptotic) shows that we have thus merely obtained an alternative expression for the coefficients a_n:

$$a_n = \frac{\nu}{n!} \Gamma(n+\mu) \sum_{r+k=n} \frac{(-1)^k l_r}{k! \Gamma(r+\mu)} \mathcal{B}_k^{(n+\mu)}(-\theta).$$

Substituting this expression into (17) in place of (22) we immediately arrive at (18). □

The next theorem contains a generalization of Nørlund's formula (4).

Theorem 2 *Suppose $\Delta = 0$ and $\mu = -m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\gamma = -\min\limits_{1 \le k \le p} (a_k/A_k)$ denote the rightmost pole of the integrand in* (5)*. Then the Mellin transform of the delta neutral H function exists in the half-plane $\Re s > \gamma$ and is given by*:

$$\int_0^{\rho} H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix} (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{a}) \end{matrix} \right) x^{s-1} dx = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} - \nu \rho^s \sum_{k=0}^{m} l_{m-k} s^k,$$

where the coefficients l_r are computed by (15)*.*

Remark. Theorem 1 implies that the Mellin transform of H in Theorem 2 does not exist for any value of s if μ is negative and non-integer.

Proof. Note first that the contour $\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}$ in the definition of the H function can be placed on the left of the vertical line $\Re z = c$, $c > \gamma$. Further, the part of the contour outside of some disk $|s| < r_0$ can be deformed into the rays $\mathcal{R}_{\pm\varepsilon} = \{re^{i(\pi\pm\varepsilon)} : \ r \geq r_0 > 0\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. To justify this claim we need to demonstrate that the slanted ray $\mathcal{R}_{-\varepsilon}$ can be deformed into the horizontal ray $\mathcal{R} = \{t + ir_0 \sin\varepsilon : \ -\infty < t \leq -r_0\cos\varepsilon\}$ without altering the value of the integral in the definition of the H function. Indeed, using the reflection formula for the gamma function we get

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_i s + a_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} = \pi^{p-q}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(1 - B_j s - b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^{p}\Gamma(1 - A_i s - a_i)}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\sin(\pi(B_j s + b_j))}{\prod_{i=1}^{p}\sin(\pi(A_i s + a_i))}. \tag{23}$$

In view of condition (6) it is straightforward to see that the quotient of the sine functions above is bounded in the domain $|\Im s| \geq r_0 \sin\varepsilon$ (see, for instance, [21, proof of theorem 3.3]). Asymptotic expansion (13) applied to the function

$$t \to x^{-s}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(1 - B_j(-u + it) - b_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^{p}\Gamma(1 - A_i(-u + it) - a_i)}$$

on the vertical segment $\mathcal{R}_u = [-u + ir_0\sin\varepsilon,\ -u + iu\tan\varepsilon]$ connecting $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{-\varepsilon}$ implies that

$$\lim_{u\to+\infty}\int\limits_{\mathcal{R}_u}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)}x^{-s}ds = 0, \ \ s = -u + it.$$

Then, according to the residue theorem

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{R}_{-\varepsilon}}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)}x^{-s}ds = \int\limits_{\mathcal{R}}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)}x^{-s}ds.$$

A similar argument shows that $\mathcal{R}_{+\varepsilon}$ can be deformed into a horizontal line.

Next, set

$$P_m(s) = \nu\sum_{k=0}^{m} l_{m-k}s^k \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{H}(s) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} - \rho^s P_m(s).$$

The function $\mathcal{H}(s)$ has no poles in the region bounded by $\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{ic}$. It follows from (13) that $\mathcal{H}(s) = \rho^s O(1/s)$ as $s \to \infty$. Hence, according to the Jordan lemma [22, chapter V, §2, p.439]

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}}\mathcal{H}(s)x^{-s}ds = \int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{ic}}\mathcal{H}(s)x^{-s}ds.$$

The function $s \to \rho^s P_m(s)x^{-s} = P_m(s)e^{s\ln(\rho/x)}$ has no poles and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\int\limits_{-u+iu\tan\varepsilon}^{-u-iu\tan\varepsilon}\rho^s P_m(s)x^{-s}ds = 0 \ \text{ so that } \int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}}\rho^s P_m(s)x^{-s}ds = 0$$

and the definition of the H function yields

$$H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{ic}}\mathcal{H}(s)x^{-s}ds. \tag{24}$$

According to (13)

$$\mathcal{H}(s)=\frac{\nu l_{m+1}\rho^s}{s}+\rho^s g(s), \tag{25}$$

where $g(s)=O(1/s^2)$ as $s\to\infty$ in the sector $|\arg(s)|<\pi-\delta$ so that $t\to g(c+it)$ is absolutely integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ for $c>\gamma$. Then we get the Mellin pair

$$v(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty}(x/\rho)^{-s}g(s)ds\quad\text{and}\quad\int_0^\infty x^{s-1}v(x)dx=\rho^s g(s).$$

It follows from [4, §12 (6)] that

$$h(x)=\frac{\nu l_{m+1}}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty}\frac{\rho^s}{s}x^{-s}ds=\frac{\nu l_{m+1}}{2\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{e^{(c+it)\log(\rho/x)}}{c+it}dt=\begin{cases}\nu l_{m+1}, & 0<x<\rho,\\ 0, & x>\rho.\end{cases}$$

On the other hand, (24) implies that

$$H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right)=h(x)+v(x)$$

for all $x>0$, $x\neq\rho$. Direct computation reveals that

$$\int\limits_0^\infty x^{s-1}H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right)dx=\int\limits_0^\rho\nu l_{m+1}x^{s-1}dx+\rho^s g(s)=\frac{\nu l_{m+1}\rho^s}{s}+\rho^s g(s)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_0^\rho x^{s-1}H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right)dx=\mathcal{H}(s)\text{ for }s>\max(0,\gamma). \tag{26}$$

To remove the restriction $s>0$ note that the asymptotics of the H function given, for instance, in [21, Theorem 1.11, p.19] implies that the left hand side of (26) is holomorphic in the half-plane $\Re s>\gamma$ and hence coincides there with $\mathcal{H}(s)$. □

In our recent paper [20] we found conditions for the gamma ratio

$$W(x)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^p\Gamma(A_ix+a_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^q\Gamma(B_jx+b_j)}$$

to be completely monotonic. According to Bernstein's theorem $W(x)$ can then be represented by the Laplace transform of a nonnegative measure. We showed that this measure for $\mu>0$ is given by (9) and conjectured that it is given by (10) for $\mu=0$, see [20, Conjecture 2]. Immediate corollary of Theorem 2 confirms this conjecture.

Corollary 2.1 *Suppose $\mu = 0$, $\rho \le 1$ and $W(x)$ is completely monotonic. Then*

$$W(x) = \int_{[-\log(\rho),\infty)} e^{-xt} dv(t),$$

where

$$dv(t) = \nu \delta_{-\log(\rho)}(t) + H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(e^{-t} \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}) \end{array} \right. \right) dt.$$

Here ν is defined in (14) *and δ_w denotes the unit mass concentrated at the point w.*

Proof. Application of Theorem 2 for $m = 0$ yields

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} = \int_0^{\rho} H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}) \end{array} \right. \right) x^{s-1} dx + \nu \rho^s.$$

It remains to apply the substitution $x = e^{-t}$ and notice that

$$\nu \rho^s = \nu \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ts} d\delta_{\log(1/\rho)}(t). \quad \square$$

Next, suppose we have a family $dv_{\mathbf{c}}(x)$ of signed Borel measures supported on $[a,b]$ indexed by a real vector $\mathbf{c}$ belonging to some set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume further that $\mathbf{c}_0$ is a limit point of S. We recall the definition of the weak convergence [3, Definition 8.1.1]: the signed measures $dv_{\mathbf{c}}(x)$ supported on $[a,b]$ converge weakly to the signed measure $dv_0(x)$ as $\mathbf{c} \to \mathbf{c_0}$, $\mathbf{c} \in S$, if for any continuous function $f(x)$ defined on $[a,b]$

$$\lim_{S \ni \mathbf{c} \to \mathbf{c}_0} \int_a^b f(x) dv_{\mathbf{c}}(x) = \int_a^b f(x) dv_0(x),$$

and the limit is independent of the path. It is relevant to remark here that the weak convergence of $dv_{\mathbf{c}}$ to the limit measure dv_0 on the interval $[a,b]$ does not generally imply the weak convergence of its restriction to a subinterval $[d,b]$, $a < d < b$, to the restriction of dv_0 to $[d,b]$. This is seen from the following example. The family

$$dv_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = dx + \delta_{(0.5 - |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}_0|)}$$

converges weakly on $[0,1]$ to the measure $dx + \delta_{0.5}$ as $\mathbf{a} \to \mathbf{a}_0$ but its restriction to $[0.5,1]$ converges weakly to the measure dx on $[0.5,1]$.

In the next theorem the notation

$$(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{b}^*) = (a_1^*, ..., a_p^*, b_1^*, ..., b_q^*), \quad \mu^* = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j^* - \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k^* + \frac{p-q}{2}$$

is used.

Theorem 3 *Set $S = \{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} : \mathbf{a} \ge 0,\ \mu > 0\}$ and suppose $\Delta = \mu^* = 0$. Then the signed measures*

$$dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) = H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}) \end{array} \right. \right) dx$$

converge weakly on any subinterval $[\eta,\rho]$, $0\le\eta<\rho$ *to the signed measure*

$$dv_*(x)=\nu^*\rho\delta_\rho(x)+H^{p,0}_{q,p}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}^*)\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a}^*)\end{matrix}\right)dx$$

as $S\ni(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})\to(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$. *Here* ρ *is defined in* (7) *and*

$$\nu^*=(2\pi)^{(p-q)/2}\prod\nolimits_{k=1}^{p}A_k^{a_k^*-1/2}\prod\nolimits_{j=1}^{q}B_j^{1/2-b_j^*}.$$

Proof. Fix p, q, $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$. Denote

$$H(x,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})=H^{p,0}_{q,p}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{matrix}\right).$$

Write $D((\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*),r)$ for the closed disk of radius r centered at the point $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$. We divide the proof in three steps.

Step 1. We will show that $\int_0^\rho|dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}|$ is uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$. The first few terms of the Stirling series [32, p.29, 31] read

$$\log\Gamma(z)=(z-1/2)\log z-z+\log\sqrt{2\pi}+\frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{2z}+H_2(z),\tag{27}$$

where $|\arg z|<\pi$ and

$$H_2(z)=\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathcal{B}_4-\mathcal{B}_4(t-[t])}{4(t+z)^4}dt,\qquad\mathcal{B}_r=\mathcal{B}_r(0)\text{ are Bernoulli numbers.}$$

Choose $\delta\in(0,1)$. Write $s=c+it$, $0<c<1$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, and suppose that

$$|s|>R=\frac{1}{\delta}\max_{i,j}\left(\frac{a_i}{A_i},\frac{b_j}{B_j}\right),\quad\pi/4<|\arg s|<\pi/2.$$

Standard series manipulations yield

$$\begin{aligned}\log\Gamma(A_is+a_i)&=A_is\log s+s\log A_i^{A_i}-A_is+(a_i-1/2)\log s+(a_i-1/2)\log A_i+\log\sqrt{2\pi}\\&\quad+\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{-a_i^2}{2A_i}+\frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{2A_i}+\frac{(a_i-1/2)a_i}{A_i}\right)+\\&\quad\frac{1}{s^2}\left[\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}a_i^n}{nA_i^{n-1}s^{n-3}}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}(a_i-1/2)a_i^n}{nA_i^ns^{n-2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n\mathcal{B}_2a_i^n}{2A_i^{n+1}s^{n-1}}\right]+H_2(A_is+a_i).\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

The function $\mathcal{B}_4-\mathcal{B}_4(t-[t])$ is clearly bounded on $[0,\infty)$ so that

$$|H_2(A_is+a_i)|\le M_0\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{|t+A_is+a_i|^4}=M_0\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{|s|^4|t/|s|+A_is/|s|+a_i/|s||^4}.$$

for some constant M_0 and $|s|>R$. Change of variable $u=t/|s|+a_i/|s|$, $s/|s|=e^{i\varphi}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}|H_2(A_is+a_i)|&\le M_0\int_{a_i/|s|}^\infty\frac{du}{|s|^3|u+e^{i\varphi}A_i|^4}\le M_0\int_{-\infty}^\infty\frac{du}{|s|^3|u+e^{i\varphi}A_i|^4}\\&\le\frac{M_0}{|s|^3}\left\{\int_0^\infty\frac{du}{\left(u^2+A_i^2\right)^2}+\int_{-\infty}^0\frac{du}{\left((u+A_i/\sqrt{2})^2+A_i^2/2\right)^2}\right\}=\frac{M_1}{|s|^3},\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

where we used $\pi/4 < \varphi < \pi/2$. Similar relations clearly hold for $\log\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)$. These relations imply

$$x^{-s}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} = e^{s\log(\rho/x)}\nu s^{-\mu}\left(1 + \frac{r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}{s} + \frac{R(s,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}{s^2}\right), \tag{30}$$

where $r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$ is a continuous function of the vector $(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$ and $R(s,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$ is bounded for $|s| \geq \delta_1$ and $(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \in D((\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*),\varepsilon)$ with some positive δ_1, ε. On the other hand the function

$$R(s,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) = \frac{s^{\mu+2}}{\nu\rho^s}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\Gamma(A_k s + a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(B_j s + b_j)} - s^2 - sr(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$$

is continuous and hence bounded on the set $\{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \in D((\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*),\varepsilon), s = c + it, |s| \leq \delta_1\}$ which implies that (30) holds for all $s = c + it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying (30) to the definition (5) of Fox's H function we get

$$|H(x,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{ic}} e^{s\log(\rho/x)}\nu s^{-\mu}\left(1 + \frac{r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}{s} + \frac{R(s,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}{s^2}\right)ds\right| \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\nu e^{(c+it)\log(\rho/x)}}{(c+it)^{\mu}}dt + r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\nu e^{(c+it)\log(\rho/x)}}{(c+it)^{\mu+1}}dt\right| + M_2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{c\log(\rho/x)}dt}{(c^2+t^2)^{1+\mu/2}} \tag{31}$$

in the punctured ε-neighborhood of $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$ and for some $M_2 > 0$. The next identity can be found in [4, §12, formula (6)]

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{e^{(c+it)\log(\rho/x)}}{(c+it)^{\mu}}dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)}\left(\log\frac{\rho}{x}\right)^{\mu-1},$$

where $0 < x < \rho$ and $\mu > 0$. Consequently, there exist certain constants M_3, M_4, M_5 such that

$$|H(x,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})| \leq M_3\frac{\log(\rho/x))^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} + M_4\frac{\log(\rho/x))^{\mu}}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + M_5\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right)^{-c} \tag{32}$$

in the punctured ε-neighborhood of the point $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$. In view of $\int_0^{\rho}(\log(\rho/x))^{\mu-1}dx = \Gamma(\mu)$ we obtain

$$\int_0^{\rho}|dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x)| \leq \int_0^{\rho}|H(x,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})|dx \leq M_3 + M_4 + M_5\rho/(1-c) = C. \tag{33}$$

Using (24) for $m = 0$ we get

$$|H(x,\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{ic}} e^{s\log(\rho/x)}\nu\left(\frac{r(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)}{s} + \frac{R(s,\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)}{s^2}\right)ds\right|$$

so that inequalities (32) and (33) also hold at the point $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$.

Step 2. We now prove the theorem for $\eta = 0$. Consider arbitrary continuous function $f(x)$ defined on $[0,\rho]$. Fix $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Weierstrass approximation theorem guarantees existence of the polynomial $P_m(x)$ such that

$$|P_m(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3C} \text{ for } x \in [0,\rho],$$

where C is the bound from (33). According to [14, Theorem 7] and Theorem 2 for $m = 0$ we have

$$\int_0^\rho x^n dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^p \Gamma(A_k(n+1)+a_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(B_j(n+1)+b_j)}, \quad \int_0^\rho x^n dv_*(x) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^p \Gamma(A_k(n+1)+a_k^*)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(B_j(n+1)+b_j^*)}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In view of these formulas and continuity of the gamma function we have:

$$\int_0^\rho P_m(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) = \int_0^\rho P_m(x) dv_*(x) + o(1), \quad (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \to (\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*), \quad (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \in S. \tag{34}$$

Hence, in some punctured neighborhood of the point $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$ of radius λ we have

$$\left| \int_0^\rho P_m(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_0^\rho P_m(x) dv_*(x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}.$$

Then for any $(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \in S$ in the punctured neighborhood of $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$ of radius $\min(\lambda,\varepsilon)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^\rho f(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_0^\rho f(x) dv_*(x) \right| &\le \int_0^\rho |f(x) - P_m(x)|\, |dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x)| \\ &+ \left| \int_0^\rho P_m(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_0^\rho P_m(x) dv_*(x) \right| \\ &+ \int_0^\rho |f(x) - P_m(x)|\, |dv_*(x)| \le C\frac{\varepsilon_1}{3C} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3} + C\frac{\varepsilon_1}{3C} = \varepsilon_1,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the weak convergence of $dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x)$ on $[0,\rho]$.

Step 3. Suppose now that $0 < \eta < \rho$. For any continuous function $g(x)$ defined on $[\eta,\rho]$ we can build the function

$$g_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} 0, \ 0 \le x \le \eta - \sigma, \\ g(\eta)((x-\eta)/\sigma + 1), \ \eta - \sigma < x < \eta, \\ g(x), \ \eta \le x \le \rho, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is sufficiently small. It follows from (32) that the function $x \to H(x,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$ is bounded on $[\eta-\sigma,\eta]$ for $(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$ in some neighborhood of $(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)$. Let us call this bound M. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_\eta^\rho g(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_\eta^\rho g(x) dv_*(x) \right| &\le \left| \int_0^\rho g_\sigma(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_0^\rho g_\sigma(x) dv_*(x) \right| \\ &+ \int_{\eta-\sigma}^\eta |g_\sigma(x)||H(x,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})| dx + \int_{\eta-\sigma}^\eta |g_\sigma(x)||H(x,\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)| dx \\ &\le \left| \int_0^\rho g_\sigma(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_0^\rho g_\sigma(x) dv_*(x) \right| + 4M|g(\eta)|\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

In view of the weak convergence of $dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}$ on $[0,\rho]$ we obtain

$$\limsup_{S \ni (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \to (\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)} \left| \int_\eta^\rho g(x) dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x) - \int_\eta^\rho g(x) dv_*(x) \right| \le 4M|g(\eta)|\sigma.$$

As σ is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{S\ni(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})\to(\mathbf{a}^*,\mathbf{b}^*)}\left|\int_\eta^\rho g(x)dv_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(x)-\int_\eta^\rho g(x)dv_*(x)\right|=0. \qquad \square$$

The above theorem leads to an extension of the integral equation for the delta neutral H function obtained in [20, Theorem 8] to the case $\mu=0$.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}>0$, $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\geq 0$, $\mu=0$, $\rho\leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^p A_i=\sum_{j=1}^q B_j$. Then the identity*

$$H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\left|\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right.\right)=\frac{1}{\log(\rho/x)}\left(\nu Q(x/\rho)+\int_x^\rho H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(u\left|\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right.\right)\frac{Q(x/u)}{u}du\right), \qquad (35)$$

holds for all $x\in(0,\rho)$ with Q defined in (12).

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$, $\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon=(b_1+\varepsilon,b_2,....,b_q)$. Then $\mu_\varepsilon=\mu+\varepsilon>0$ so that the H function with parameters $\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon$ satisfies the integral equation [20, (25)]

$$\log(\rho/x)H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\left|\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon)\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right.\right)=\int_x^\rho H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(u\left|\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon)\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right.\right)\frac{Q_\varepsilon(x/u)}{u}du, \qquad (36)$$

where

$$Q_\varepsilon(t)=\sum_{i=1}^p\frac{t^{a_i/A_i}}{1-t^{1/A_i}}-\frac{t^{(b_1+\varepsilon)/B_1}}{1-t^{1/B_1}}-\sum_{j=2}^q\frac{t^{b_j/B_j}}{1-t^{1/B_j}}. \qquad (37)$$

It is tempting to apply Theorem 3 to the integral on the right of (36) to arrive at the required conclusion immediately. However, the dependence of $Q_\varepsilon(x/u)$ on ε is not permitted by conditions of this theorem, so that one more step is needed. Denote

$$H_\varepsilon(x)=H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\left|\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon)\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right.\right),\ H(x)=H_{q,p}^{p,0}\left(x\left|\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{b})\\(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{a})\end{array}\right.\right).$$

The triangle inequality yields

$$\left|\int_x^\rho H_\varepsilon(u)\frac{Q_\varepsilon(x/u)}{u}du-\int_x^\rho H(u)\frac{Q(x/u)}{u}du-\nu Q(x/\rho)\right|\leq$$
$$\int_x^\rho\left|\frac{H_\varepsilon(u)}{u}\right||Q_\varepsilon(x/u)-Q(x/u)|du+\left|\int_x^\rho(H_\varepsilon(u)-H(u))\frac{Q(x/u)}{u}du-\nu Q(x/\rho)\right|. \qquad (38)$$

We have

$$Q_\varepsilon(t)-Q(t)=\frac{t^{b_1/B_1}(t^{\varepsilon/B_1}-1)}{1-t^{1/B_1}}$$

so that

$$\sup_{t\in[x/\rho,1]}|Q_\varepsilon(t)-Q(t)|\leq\sup_{t\in[x/\rho,1]}\frac{1-t^{\varepsilon/B_1}}{1-t^{1/B_1}}=\frac{1-(x/\rho)^{\varepsilon/B_1}}{1-(x/\rho)^{1/B_1}}$$

and hence

$$\int_x^\rho\left|\frac{H_\varepsilon(u)}{u}\right||Q_\varepsilon(x/u)-Q(x/u)|du\leq\frac{1-(x/\rho)^{\varepsilon/B_1}}{1-(x/\rho)^{1/B_1}}\int_x^\rho\frac{|H_\varepsilon(u)|}{x}du.$$

The last estimate combined with (33) leads to the conclusion that the first term on the right hand side of (38) tends to zero as $\varepsilon\to 0$. The second term also goes to zero as seen by integrating the difference $H_\varepsilon(u)-H(u)$ term by term and applying Theorem 3 to the first term. The claim now follows on limit transition $\varepsilon\to 0$ in (36). $\square$

3. New identities for Meijer's G function. Meijer's G function is a particular case of Fox's H function when $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}=(1,\dots,1)$. The condition $\Delta=0$ then forces the assumption $p=q$ for G to be delta neutral. It also follows from (7) that $\rho=1$. Under the conditions $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\geq 0$, $0<x<1$, equation (11) takes the following form

$$\log(1/x)G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=\int_x^1 G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(t\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\frac{x^{a_k}}{t^{a_k}}-\frac{x^{b_k}}{t^{b_k}}\right)\frac{dt}{t-x} \tag{39}$$

where $\mu>0$, while equation (35) reduces to

$$\log(1/x)G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{p}\frac{\left(x^{a_k}-x^{b_k}\right)}{1-x}+\int_x^1 G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(t\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\frac{x^{a_k}}{t^{a_k}}-\frac{x^{b_k}}{t^{b_k}}\right)\frac{dt}{t-x} \tag{40}$$

for $\mu=0$. Formula (39) is nontrivial even for $p=1$ and $p=2$ (as well as (40) for $p=2$). Using the representations [33, 8.4.2.3, 8.4.49.22]

$$G^{1,0}_{1,1}\left(z\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b\\ a\end{matrix}\right)=\frac{z^a(1-z)^{b-a-1}}{\Gamma(b-a)} \tag{41}$$

and

$$G^{2,0}_{2,2}\left(z\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b_1,b_2\\ a_1,a_2\end{matrix}\right)=\frac{z^{a_2}(1-z)^{b_1+b_2-a_1-a_2-1}}{\Gamma(b_1+b_2-a_1-a_2)}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}b_1-a_1,b_2-a_1\\ b_1+b_2-a_1-a_2\end{matrix};1-z\right) \tag{42}$$

valid for $|z|<1$, we get the following curious integral evaluations:

$$\int_x^1 t^a(1-t)^{b-a-1}\left[\frac{x^a}{t^a}-\frac{x^b}{t^b}\right]\frac{dt}{t-x}=x^a(1-x)^{b-a-1}\log(1/x),\quad b>a,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_x^1 t^{a_1}(1-t)^{\psi-1}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}b_1-a_2,b_2-a_2\\ \psi\end{matrix}\middle|1-t\right)\left[\frac{x^{a_1}}{t^{a_1}}+\frac{x^{a_2}}{t^{a_2}}-\frac{x^{b_1}}{t^{b_1}}-\frac{x^{b_2}}{t^{b_2}}\right]\frac{dt}{t-x}\\ &\quad=x^{a_1}(1-x)^{\psi-1}\log(1/x){}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}b_1-a_2,b_2-a_2\\ \psi\end{matrix}\middle|1-x\right),\quad \mu=b_1+b_2-a_1-a_2>0.\end{aligned}$$

The order of summation and integration in (39) and (40) cannot be interchanged since the resulting integrals diverge. Nevertheless, these divergent integrals can be regularized which will be done in Theorem 4 below. Denote

$$\widehat{G}^{p+1,0}_{p+1,p+1}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b_0,\mathbf{b}\\ u,\mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=\frac{d}{du}G^{p+1,0}_{p+1,p+1}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b_0,\mathbf{b}\\ u,\mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right).$$

Differentiating the definition of the G function we obtain:

$$\widehat{G}^{p+1,0}_{p+1,p+1}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b_0,\mathbf{b}\\ u,\mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}}\frac{\Gamma'(u+s)\Gamma(a_1+s)\dots\Gamma(a_p+s)}{\Gamma(b_1+s)\dots\Gamma(b_{p+1}+s)}x^{-s}ds. \tag{43}$$

Theorem 4 *Suppose* $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \geq 0$ *and* $0 < x < 1$. *Then the G function satisfies the following equations*:

$$\log(1/x) G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \left\{ \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} b_k, \mathbf{b} \\ b_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) - \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} a_k, \mathbf{b} \\ a_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) \right\} \tag{44}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}} \frac{\Gamma(a_1+s)\ldots\Gamma(a_p+s)}{\Gamma(b_1+s)\ldots\Gamma(b_p+s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} (\psi(b_k+s) - \psi(a_k+s) \right) x^{-s} ds \tag{45}$$

if $\mu > 0$ *and*

$$\log(1/x) G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\left(x^{a_k} - x^{b_k}\right)}{1-x} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \left\{ \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} b_k, \mathbf{b} \\ b_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) - \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} a_k, \mathbf{b} \\ a_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\left(x^{a_k} - x^{b_k}\right)}{1-x} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\mathcal{L}_{-\infty}} \frac{\Gamma(a_1+s)\ldots\Gamma(a_p+s)}{\Gamma(b_1+s)\ldots\Gamma(b_p+s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} (\psi(b_k+s) - \psi(a_k+s) \right) x^{-s} ds \tag{46}$$

if $\mu = 0$. *Here* $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$ *denotes the digamma function.*

Proof. Write the right hand side of (39) as

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \left\{ x^{a_k} \int_x^1 G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(t \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) (t-x)^{h-1} t^{-a_k} dt - x^{b_k} \int_x^1 G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(t \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) (t-x)^{h-1} t^{-b_k} dt \right\}. \tag{47}$$

According to [33, Formula 2.24.3, p. 293] we get

$$x^{a_k} \int_x^1 G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(t \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) (t-x)^{h-1} t^{(1-a_k)-1} dt = x^h \Gamma(h) G_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} a_k, \mathbf{b} \\ a_k - h, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right).$$

and

$$x^{b_k} \int_x^1 G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(t \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) (t-x)^{h-1} t^{(1-b_k)-1} dt = x^h \Gamma(h) G_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} b_k, \mathbf{b} \\ b_k - h, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right).$$

By Taylor's theorem

$$G_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} a_k, \mathbf{b} \\ a_k - h, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) = G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) - h \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} a_k, \mathbf{b} \\ a_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) + o(h) \text{ as } h \to 0$$

and

$$G_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} b_k, \mathbf{b} \\ b_k - h, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) = G_{p,p}^{p,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) - h \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} b_k, \mathbf{b} \\ b_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) + o(h) \text{ as } h \to 0.$$

As $\lim_{h \to 0} h\Gamma(h) = 1$ we conclude that the limit (47) equals

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} \left\{ \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} b_k, \mathbf{b} \\ b_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) - \widehat{G}_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0}\left(x \left| \begin{matrix} a_k, \mathbf{b} \\ a_k, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right.\right) \right\}.$$

Formula (45) now follows on substituting (43) into (44). The proof of (46) is similar. □

Remark. Nørlund's identity (2) for the G function in (44) takes the form

$$G^{p+1,0}_{p+1,p+1}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b_k,\mathbf{b}\\ u,\mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=x^u(1-x)^{\mu+b_k-u-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};b_k,\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mu+b_k-u+n)}(1-x)^n.$$

The coefficients $g_n(\mathbf{a};b_k,\mathbf{b})$ are given in (3). Further details regarding these coefficients can be found in [29] and our recent paper [19]. Computing the derivative in u and setting $u=b_k$ we obtain

$$\widehat{G}^{p+1,0}_{p+1,p+1}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}b_k,\mathbf{b}\\ b_k,\mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=(\log(x)-\log(1-x))x^{b_k}(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};b_k,\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n$$
$$+x^{b_k}(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};b_k,\mathbf{b})\psi(\mu+n)}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n$$

and (setting $u=a_k$)

$$\widehat{G}^{p+1,0}_{p+1,p+1}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}a_k,\mathbf{b}\\ a_k,\mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=(\log(x)-\log(1-x))x^{a_k}(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};a_k,\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n$$
$$+x^{a_k}(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};a_k,\mathbf{b})\psi(\mu+n)}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n.$$

It is easily seen on setting $u=b_k$ or $u=a_k$ that

$$x^{b_k}(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};b_k,\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n=x^{a_k}(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{g_n(\mathbf{a};a_k,\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n=G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right).$$

Hence, (44) takes the form

$$\log(1/x)G^{p,0}_{p,p}\left(x\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\mathbf{b}\\ \mathbf{a}\end{matrix}\right)=(1-x)^{\mu-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\psi(\mu+n)}{\Gamma(\mu+n)}(1-x)^n\sum_{k=1}^{p}\{x^{b_k}g_n(\mathbf{a};b_k,\mathbf{b})-x^{a_k}g_n(\mathbf{a};a_k,\mathbf{b})\}.$$

In particular, for $p=2$ we get using (42) and [29, (2.10)]:

$$x^{a_1}\log(1/x){}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}b_1-a_2,b_2-a_2\\ \mu\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-x\right)$$
$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\psi(\mu+n)}{n!}\left\{x^{b_1}(\mu)_n{}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-n,b_2-a_1,b_2-a_2\\ \mu,\mu\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1\right)+x^{b_2}(\mu)_n{}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-n,b_2-a_1,b_2-a_2\\ \mu,\mu\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-x^{a_1}\frac{(b_1-a_2)_n(b_2-a_2)_n}{(\mu)_n}-x^{a_2}\frac{(b_1-a_1)_n(b_2-a_1)_n}{(\mu)_n}\right\}(1-x)^n.$$

The ultimate two identities are believed to be new.

4. Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the Russian Science Foundation under project 14-11-00022.

References

[1] T.W. Anderson. An introduction to multivariate statistical analysis, New York: Wiley, Second edition, 1984.

[2] J.J. Benedetto, W. Czaja, Integration and Modern Analysis, Birkhäuser, 2009.

[3] V.I. Bogachev, Measure Theory, Volume II, Springer, 2007.

[4] S. Bochner, Lectures on Fourier Integrals, Princeton University Press, 1959.

[5] G.E.P. Box, A general distribution theory for a class of likelihood criteria, Biometrika, 36 (1949), 317–346.

[6] B.L.J. Braaskma, Asymptotic expansions and analytic continuation for a class of Barnes integrals, Composito Math. 15, no.3(1962–64), 239–341.

[7] J.-F. Chamayou and G. Letac, Additive Properties of the Dufresne Laws and Their Multivariate Extension, Journal of Theoretical Probability, vol. 12. no.4(1999), 1045–1066.

[8] L. Carlitz, Weighted Stirling numbers of the first and second kind – I, The Fibonacci Quarterly 18(1980), 147–162.

[9] L. Carlitz, Weighted Stirling numbers of the first and second kind – II, The Fibonacci Quarterly 18(1980), 242–257.

[10] C.A. Charalambides, Enumerative Combinatorics, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.

[11] C.A. Coelho, B.C. Arnold Instances of the product of independent beta random variables and of the Meijer G and Fox H functions with finite representations, AIP Conference Proceedings 1479(2012), 1133–1137.

[12] D. Dufresne, Algebraic properties of beta and gamma distributions and applications, Advances in Applied Mathematics 20 (1998), 285–299, article no. AM970576.

[13] D. Dufresne, G distributions and the beta-gamma algebra, Electronic Journal of Probability, Vol. 15 (2010), paper no.71, 2163–2199.

[14] C. Fox, The G and H functions as symmetrical kernels, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 98 (1961), 395–429.

[15] A.K. Gupta, J. Tang, On a general distribution for a class of likelihood ratio criteria, Austraul. J. Statist. Volume 30, no.3(1988), 359–366.

[16] P. Hartman, Ordinary Differential Equations, Second Edition, SIAM, 2002.

[17] V.M. Kalinin, Special functions and limit properties of probability distributions. I, Studies in the classic problems of probability theory and mathematical statistics, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI, 13, 1969, 5–137.

[18] D.B. Karp, G. Nemes and E.G. Prilepkina, Inverse factorial series for general gamma ratio and identities for Bernoulli polynomials, in preparation.

[19] D.B. Karp and E.G. Prilepkina, Hypergeometric differential equation and new identities for the coefficients of Nørlund and Bühring, submitted to SIGMA, 2016. Preprint arXiv:1602.07375.

[20] D. Karp and E. Prilepkina, Completely monotonic gamma ratio and infinitely divisible H-function of Fox, Computational Methods and Function Theory, Volume 16, Number 1, (2016), 135–153.

[21] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo, H-transforms and applications, Analytical Methods and Special Functions, Volume 9, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[22] M.A. Lavrent'ev, B.V. Shabat, Methods of theory of functions of complex variables, Nauka, 1987 (in Russian).

[23] A.M. Mathai, R.K. Saxena and H.J. Haubold, The H-Function: Theory and Applications, Springer 2010.

[24] U.S. Nair, The Application of the Moment Function in the Study of Distribution Laws in Statistics, Biometrika, Vol. 30, No. 3/4 (1939), 274–294.

[25] G. Nemes, Generalization of Binet's Gamma function formulas, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, Volume 24, Issue 8(2013), 597–606.

[26] N. Nielsen, Sur la représentation asymptotique d'une série factorielles, Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 3^e série, tome 21 (1904), 449–458.

[27] N. Nielsen, Die Gammafunktion, Chelsea, New York, 1965, originally published by Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin, 1906.

[28] N.E. Nørlund, Sur les séries de facultés, Acta Math. 37 no. 1 (1914), 327–387.

[29] N.E. Nørlund, Hypergeometric functions, Acta Mathematica, volume 94(1955), 289–349.

[30] N.E. Nørlund, Sur Les Valeurs Asymptotiques des Nombres et des Polynômes de Bernoulli, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 10, no.1(1961), 27–44.

[31] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert C.W. Clark (Eds.) NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[32] R.B. Paris, D. Kaminski, Asymptotics and Mellin-Barnes Integrals, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[33] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu.A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev Integrals and series, Volume 3: More Special Functions, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1990.

[34] J. Tang and A.K. Gupta, On the Distribution of the Product of Independent Beta Random Variables, Statistics and Probability Letters 2(1984), 165–168.

[35] J. Tang and A.K. Gupta, Exact Distribution of Certain General Test Statistics in Multivariate Analysis, Austral. J. Slalut., 28, no.1(1986), 107–114.

[36] E.J. Weniger, Summation of divergent power series by means of factorial series, Applied Numerical Mathematics 60(2010), 1429–1441.

[37] S.S. Wilks, Certain generalizations in the analisis of variance, Biometrika, 24(1932), 471–494.